



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,978	01/03/2005	William B. O'Neal	3165-113	3208
6449	7590	09/22/2009	EXAMINER	
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			HOLT, ANDRIAE M	
1425 K STREET, N.W.				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1616	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/519,978	O'NEAL ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Andriae M. Holt	1616	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Andriae M. Holt.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Monica Kitts.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 September 2009

**Time:** 11:30 a.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claim 39*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner contacted Ms. Kitts to receive authorization to execute an examiner's amendment to correct the claim dependency of original claim 39 in the allowed application. Ms. Kitts gave authorization to delete the number "36" and add the number "34" in line 1 of claim 39 by examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Johann R. Richter/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

